



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,633	04/02/2004	Stephen Marc Meer	34000/006	1049

40997 7590 07/25/2006

MICHELE ZARINELLI
c/o WEST CORPORATION
11808 MIRACLE HILLS DRIVE
MSW11 - LEGAL
OMAHA, NE 68154

EXAMINER

WOO, STELLA L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/816,633		MEER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Stella L. Woo		2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 14-20, 27 and 28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 14-20, 27 and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/06/2006</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 1-12, 14-20, 27-28 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-74 of copending Application No. 10/813,613 for the same reasons given in the last Office action.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 4, line 4, "transmits" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-12, 14-16, 18, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCalmont et al. (US 6,771,742 B2, hereinafter "McCalmont") in view of Caci (US 7,050,787).

Regarding claims 1-2, 4, 7-12, 15-16, 18, McCalmont discloses a communication network (network 200; Figure 2) for providing emergency services, the communication network comprising:

an emergency services network (emergency services complex 216) comprising a resource (positioning server 256) connected to a plurality of emergency services (call center database 264, information retrieval center 228); and

a conforming emergency system (PSAP 244) connected to the resource via a media channel (computer network; col. 8, lines 44-47),

wherein the CES is configured to transmit, responsive to an emergency event (9-1-1 call), an emergency event message to the resource via the media channel, where the emergency event message includes a retrieval key (when an emergency service call is received from E911 tandem 236, PSAP 244 queries ALI database 248 with an emergency services query key (col. 8, lines 15-37).

McCalmont differs from claims 1-2, 4, 7-12, 14-16, 18, 27-28 in that it does not teach the positioning server transmitting multiple responses to the PSAP during the emergency event session. However, Caci, from the same field of endeavor, teaches the desirability of a positioning server (GIS 254) transmitting multiple responses (first location calculation and second location calculation; col. 4, lines 9-18; col. 5, lines 31-36) so that the PSAP operator can received updated location information of a moving mobile caller (col. 6, lines 2-9). Since McCalmont handles emergency calls from mobile emergency callers

(mobile communication device 268), it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such transmission of multiple responses by a positioning server, as taught by Caci, within the positioning server of McCalmont so that the PSAP operator can be apprised of updated location information regarding a mobile caller.

Regarding claims 14 and 28, McCalmont and Caci are emergency systems which handle a plurality of emergency calls.

Regarding claim 27, in McCalmont, the query and return messages are communicated according to an XML protocol (col. 8, lines 51-53).


Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 14-20, 27-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Stella L. Woo', with a stylized, flowing script.

Stella L. Woo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614